

NATIONAL ACADEMY OF SCIENCES

EDWARD UHLER CONDON


1902—1974

A Biographical Memoir by
PHILIP M. MORSE

*Any opinions expressed in this memoir are those of the author(s)
and do not necessarily reflect the views of the
National Academy of Sciences.*

Biographical Memoir

COPYRIGHT 1976
NATIONAL ACADEMY OF SCIENCES
WASHINGTON D.C.



E. U. Condon

EDWARD UHLER CONDON

March 2, 1902–March 26, 1974

BY PHILIP M. MORSE

THE MIDDLE THIRD of the twentieth century was the era of hegemony of physics in American science. During that period Edward Uhler Condon was a leader in physics, in research of his own, in stimulating research in others, in applying physics, and in calling attention to the effects on all of us of its indiscriminate and irrational application. When he made his first contribution to theoretical physics in 1926, the word *physics* was not in the vocabularies of most Americans and the revolutionary concepts of quantum mechanics and relativity were just being worked out in Europe; by 1960 the applications of electronics and solid-state physics had begun to change our lives irreversibly, and the implications of nuclear physics were manifest to everyone. Ed Condon contributed to each part of this explosive evolution.

Condon's father, William Edward Condon, was a builder of railroads in the West. He and his wife, Carolyn Uhler Condon, moved from place to place as the construction jobs required. When Ed was born, on March 2, 1902, they happened to be in Alamogordo, New Mexico, an ironic coincidence not apparent until July 16, 1945. By the time he was ready for high school, the family had settled down in Oakland, California. Ed's rival interests, science and journalism, pulled him in different directions. In the turbulent year of 1918, when he graduated, rather

than going on to college he became a reporter for the *Oakland Enquirer*. His experience in the ensuing three years had a lasting effect on his attitude toward government and society.

In his own words, "On the *Enquirer* I specialized in the news of organized labor. . . . The dock workers, the timber workers, and the migratory farm laborers . . . were drawn to communism. The California state legislature had passed a strong bill defining criminal syndicalism and making it a felony. The politicians were looking for a place to use it. On November 9, 1919, I was the only reporter from a conservative newspaper to cover the organization meeting of the Communist Labor Party of California, as it was called then. I wrote lurid and sensational stories about this small group of one or two hundred persons, which resulted in indictments against them, and which required that I had to testify against them, in trial after trial, over the next several years. In this connection I became aware of open boasting by a police detective of his having framed some of the defendants in a matter where I knew the facts to be otherwise. The effect of this involvement on me was to wipe out any desire to be even an educated newspaperman; so I entered the university and went into physical science largely as a means of escape from the corruption of the world, in addition to the fact that I was genuinely interested in physical science."*

He entered as a freshman in the College of Chemistry of the University of California at Berkeley in 1921, but when he learned that his high school physics teacher, W. H. Williams, had joined the physics faculty at Berkeley he switched from chemistry to take Williams's courses in theoretical physics; thus his choice of career was determined. In that same year, 1922, he married Emilie Honzig, a tiny bundle of energy who en-

*Edward Uhler Condon, "Reminiscences of a Life In and Out of Quantum Mechanics," *Proceedings of the 7th International Symposium on Atomic, Molecular, Solid State Theory and Quantum Biology*, ed. Per-Olov Löwdin (New York: John Wiley & Sons, 1973), p. 9 (hereafter cited as "Reminiscences").

couraged Ed in his scientific work and actively supported his extracurricular activities.

At that time, as Condon has commented, "The physics department was comparatively weak in a research way, except for the recent addition to the faculty of R. T. Birge, who concentrated on the early development of the quantum theory interpretation of diatomic molecular band spectra, and of Leonard B. Loeb, who has spent a lifetime making important contributions to processes of ionization in gases.*

Ed did well, for he received his A.B. degree in three years with highest honors, went on directly to graduate work in physics, and received his Ph.D. in 1926. Birge was then making great progress in measuring and analyzing band spectral intensities. Condon put these observations together with a suggestion of James Franck concerning the photodisintegration of diatomic molecules to come up with an explanation of the regularities in the intensities. He wrote it up over a couple of weekends and presented it to Birge as his Ph.D. thesis. The combined suggestion-explanation became known as the Franck-Condon principle, after Condon reworked it later, in the language of the newer quantum mechanics.

In those years an education in physics was not complete without a year or two spent in Germany. Condon received a National Research Council fellowship and he and Emilie, with infant Marie (now Mrs. Wayne Thornton, Jr.), spent the fall of 1926 in Göttingen and the spring of 1927 in Munich. He imbibed the probabilistic interpretation of quantum mechanics from Max Born and, under Arnold Sommerfeld, began the wave mechanical formulation of the Franck-Condon principle.

Again the rivalry of interest between the gaining and the imparting of new knowledge intervened. Quoting Condon again, "By spring and summer of 1927, papers in quantum

*Condon, "Reminiscences," p. 10.

mechanics were appearing at a great rate. In those days a young theoretical physicist was supposed to keep abreast of progress in every area of theoretical physics. I became discouraged and decided that if this were the normal pace of work in my chosen field (which it was not!) then I was not equal to the task. About this time there appeared a help-wanted advertisement in the *Physical Review* for a man to write popular science for an industrial laboratory, the requirement being stated that the candidate must have newspaper writing experience as well as a Ph.D. in physics. I may well have been the only person in America with that combination at the time. At any rate I applied for the position, was interviewed for it in London, and accepted it. It turned out that the position was in the public relations department of the Bell Telephone Laboratories, then in its old quarters at 463 West Street along the Hudson River in lower Manhattan.

“We returned to America and found an apartment near Columbia in October 1927. . . . At Bell Laboratories, C. J. Davisson and L. H. Germer had just done the experimental work on scattering of low-energy electrons by single crystals of nickel which led to one mode of discovery of electron diffraction. . . . The importance of this work was not at first appreciated in the business management side of the Bell Labs, and I devoted a good deal of attention in the fall of 1927 to explaining to such people that the work was destined to win for the Bell Labs the first Nobel prize to be awarded to an industrial organization. . . .

“In that fall I soon found that the American physicists on the Atlantic Coast were by and large having as much trouble understanding and assimilating quantum mechanics as I had had in Germany. . . . The profession of theoretical physics was much smaller then than now. As I remember it, Gregory Breit, John Slater, John Van Vleck, and Edwin Kemble were about the only ones in America who were really active in research in

quantum mechanics. . . . I soon found myself in demand as a colloquium speaker at various universities . . . and King encouraged me to accept such invitations, even though they bore little if any relation to the work I was supposed to be doing for the telephone company.

"I was asked by George Pegram to be a lecturer in physics at Columbia University in the spring of 1928. . . . I accepted and started on my first regular university appointment by giving two graduate courses, one in quantum mechanics and the other on electromagnetic theory of light. . . . Besides giving these courses I traveled around giving colloquium talks on quantum mechanics and also on the Franck-Condon principle. So great was the demand for young faculty who could deal with these subjects that in the spring I was offered six assistant professorships for the fall of 1929. . . . I ended up by taking the offer from Karl Compton to go to Princeton. . . ."*

The chronicler of this biography first met Condon at Princeton in the fall of 1928. He was a new kind of professor. A close-cropped brush of black hair accentuated the roundness of his head, his broad face was usually adorned with a grin, and his brown eyes looked steadily but somewhat skeptically at one through rimless glasses. The western vocabulary, the proletarian outlook, the rough-edged kindness—all contrasted with the eastern establishment manners that were then the Princeton norm. He was only a year older than the chronicler, but while his greater experience and maturity made a great deal of difference to the student, it made no difference to the professor.

Condon has remarked that this first year at Princeton, 1928–1929, was the most productive in his life. He has said, "For teaching I gave a course in quantum mechanics again, improving the notes of the previous Columbia course, and a junior course in classical mechanics of which the most outstanding

*Condon, "Reminiscences," pp. 12–13.

student was E. Bright Wilson . . . now Mallinckrodt professor of chemistry at Harvard. Philip M. Morse, who had received a doctorate under K. T. Compton, . . . took my course and we worked up the lecture notes into the book *Quantum Mechanics* (Condon and Morse), which was published by McGraw-Hill in the fall of 1929. . . .

"I personally wrote the paper which gave a fuller statement of the quantum mechanics of the Franck-Condon principle. . . . By far the most important piece of work done that year was the development of the barrier leakage picture of alpha-particle radioactivity, done with R. W. Gurney. The same idea was developed almost simultaneously by George Gamow, then a postdoctoral fellow in Göttingen. This was the first application of quantum mechanics to details of inner structure of atomic nuclei, and at the same time its success gave a big boost to the probability interpretation of the intensity of the Schrödinger wave which was only being reluctantly accepted in some quarters."*

Condon still was footloose. He accepted an offer of full professorship at the University of Minnesota for the fall of 1929. But within a year he decided he preferred the stimulation of congenial colleagues to the kudos of the full professorship, so after giving summer courses at Stanford, he returned to Princeton in 1930, where he remained until 1937. During that decade he began to show his ability to spot, energize, and guide emerging leaders in the next generation of theoretical physicists. Two of them have reported how he did it.

George Shortley, who became professor of physics at Ohio State University and went into the field of operations research during and after World War II, writes: "I was a senior at the University of Minnesota, taking a physics minor in my electrical

*Condon, "Reminiscences," pp. 14-15.

engineering program. I signed up for both of his courses. His appearance was quite different from that of any professor I had ever seen. He was jovial, chubby, black-haired, crew-cut and boyish in appearance, wearing cream-colored plus-fours, after the fashion of the day for students, but decidedly not for faculty. One of his courses was the theory of atomic spectra, taught in the quantum-mechanical technique of Dirac before any useable text was available. The other was a course in classical methods of mathematical physics. The two courses meshed perfectly because the same mathematical functions were used in both. Condon was a beautiful lecturer; he had the facility of 'making a difficult subject sound easy' whereas other professors often had the opposite tendency to 'make a simple subject sound difficult.'

"These courses aroused my interest in the theory of atomic spectra and led eventually to my collaboration with Condon on the well-known book on this subject. In fact, later in this same senior year, Condon and I wrote and published our first joint research paper in this field.

"Early in 1930 Condon decided to leave Minnesota and return to Princeton. With considerable difficulty he arranged for me to go with him to Princeton as a graduate student. He also arranged for me to be his research assistant, at a salary that would enable me to support myself. After teaching at Stanford in the summer of 1930, he picked me up in Iowa for the drive back to Princeton with his wife Emilie and their little child, Marie, called Mädi; in fact Mädi sat on my lap for most of the trip.

"When I reported for my duties as research assistant he proposed the collaboration on the monograph on atomic spectra, and we proceeded to outline the chapters then and there. As indicative of the energy he expected of himself and of his students, he asked me the next morning how much I had writ-

ten. Fortunately I had applied myself the previous afternoon and evening and had the draft of half the introductory chapter to show him.”*

And Frederick Seitz, president of the National Academy of Sciences from 1962 to 1969 and since then president of Rockefeller University, writes: “I was a sophomore at Stanford University and decided to do my bit to reverse negative trends in society by becoming a professional physicist. While still enjoying the feeling of euphoria brought on by this decision, I read in the university newspaper that the visiting professor in theoretical physics for the summer quarter would be a brilliant young man, twenty-eight years old, who had discovered the Franck-Condon principle while a graduate student at Berkeley, had spent two years at the great centers of theoretical physics in Europe as a National Research Council fellow, and had held prominent posts at the Bell Telephone Laboratories, Princeton University, and the University of Minnesota. Just a year earlier, he and Ronald Gurney had given an interpretation of spontaneous alpha disintegration of nuclei in terms of quantum mechanical tunneling. To top it all, the campus paper related that he had earned his way through Berkeley as one of the more worldly reporters for the *Oakland Tribune*. In this pursuit he had, among other things, stirred up a lively public discussion of whether a birdcage would weigh more or less when the bird was flying around inside instead of resting on its perch.

“The visitor, Edward Condon, was slated to give a course in modern physics which would be open to duly qualified undergraduates. I succeeded in persuading an indulgent father to provide the means to attend the summer session and, early in July, found myself perched on a chair in the front row of the lecture room waiting for the show to start. It was not a disappointment.

*Quotations not footnoted in this memoir are taken from personal letters to Philip M. Morse.

"Precocious and crew-cut, Ed Condon exhibited even then all of the characteristics that have carried him through a lifetime near the center of the stage. He was creative, energetic, perceptive, humorous, restless, eloquent, worldly and friendly. Moreover, he knew, on a first-name basis, most of the top-billed physicists on the planet and loved to spin endless anecdotes about them. This was very rich fare for an undergraduate. Condon's lectures . . . were then as now a wonderful combination of logic, anecdotes and humor. . . . In those days, long before physicists were taken very seriously by the public at large and when they still were all but unknown to congressmen and security officers, Condon was flamboyantly cheerful practically all of the time, his occasional bursts of wrath being directed at the petty annoyances of everyday life which plague us all. His bouts with various prominent individuals—particularly with General Leslie Groves—lay far in the future. . . .

"Condon was so deeply interested in other people that he quickly came to know personally everyone in the class who managed to act reasonably alive. The small band of embryonic physicists who dominated the front row in the lecture hall became his close friends. . . . With Condon's ardent help, continued family indulgence, and some permissiveness on the part of the Princeton admissions committee, I followed him back to Princeton a year and a half later as a graduate student. . . . His lectures that spring were centered on Frenkel's book about the classical electromagnetic theory of light, which he embellished in countless ways. I still cherish a carbon copy of his notes. . . ."*

With the completion of *The Theory of Atomic Spectra*, Condon's interest returned to atomic nuclei. He collaborated with Gregory Breit on a paper on the photodisintegration of

*Wesley E. Brittin and Halis Odabasi, eds., *Topics in Modern Physics; a Tribute to Edward U. Condon* (Boulder: Colo. Associated Univ. Press, 1971), Foreword by Frederick Seitz, pp. xxi-xxiii (hereafter cited as *Topics in Modern Physics*).

the deuteron. But, as he has written, "Much more important was the work done jointly with Breit and R. D. Present on the theoretical interpretation of the experimental results obtained by Tuve, Hafstad, and Heydenberg at the Carnegie Institution of Washington on the scattering of protons by protons at energies up to about one million volts. These results showed quite clearly the charge independence of the strong nuclear force between nucleons on which all modern nuclear theory is based."*

Between 1928 and 1938 Condon published two books—*Quantum Mechanics* and *The Theory of Atomic Spectra*, both with co-authors; nine papers on general quantum mechanics; six papers on atomic spectra, all but one with co-authors; eight papers on the quantum mechanics of molecules, all but two with co-authors; two papers on solid-state theory, one with a co-author; and two papers on the biological effects of radiation. In addition, there were three articles in the *American Physics Teacher* on simple ways to understand physical concepts, two on semiphilosophical topics, and one, published in the *Proceedings of the U.S. Naval Institute*, that can be considered either as an early example of operations research or as an example of Ed's sense of humor. He had come across, in his omnivorous reading, a set of heuristic rules for the amount of food a shipboard cook should prepare, as a function of the number of men to be served. Assuming that the rule represents a balance between satisfying the men's shipboard appetites and reducing the amount of food left over, he determined the parameters of the normal distribution of the men's appetites that the rule inferred and then embellished it with comments on the implications of the distribution and on the validity of the conclusion that there was a nonzero fraction of the men with negative appetites. The conclusions seemed to puzzle some commentators in later issues of the *Proceedings*. This is by way of illustrating that, in spite

*Condon, "Reminiscences," p. 18.

of his earlier noted complaint, at keeping abreast of progress in physics, Condon did in fact read, and understand, an unusually large sample of scientific literature.

Princeton could not hold him long. In 1937 he accepted the post of associate director of research at the Westinghouse Electric Corporation. He moved his family, increased by two sons, Paul Edward (now on the physics faculty at the University of California at Irvine) and Joseph Henry (now with the Bell Telephone Laboratories) to Pittsburgh. Westinghouse wanted to strengthen its work in fundamental physics and assured Condon of liberal support and a free hand in developing such work at the laboratories in East Pittsburgh. Construction had already been started on a large pressurized van der Graaff machine for nuclear work. This project was put under Condon's direction and other lines of work were initiated.

Once again Condon became the center of a lively community of stimulating individuals. He purchased a roomy house close to Wilksburg that seemed to be undergoing continual growth and was usually bursting with interesting, if occasionally unconventional, visitors. Condon not only brought into closer communication the promising young scientists and engineers already employed at Westinghouse, but soon added new faces, through a system of postdoctoral research fellowships. Under his leadership the laboratory quickly grew to the state where it could become a significant factor in the research and development that was to be necessary in World War II.

The approaching war broke in on those developments. In the fall of 1940 the National Defense Research Committee (NDRC) was authorized by President Roosevelt. It soon established the MIT Radiation Laboratory to develop microwave radar. It was agreed that Condon should devote as much of his group's energy as possible to radar work at East Pittsburgh, in cooperation with the MIT Radiation Laboratory. So, during the winter of 1940-1941 he commuted weekly between Cam-

bridge and Pittsburgh. Westinghouse made him chairman of the company committee to coordinate the expanding microwave research effort at its electronic laboratory in Bloomfield, New Jersey, and its radio systems factory in Baltimore, adding to his crowded travel schedule. He also served briefly with R. C. Tolman and C. C. Lauritsen on the NDRC committee responsible for the rocket program that led to the establishment of the Jet Propulsion Laboratory of Caltech.

Parallel to these developments was the work on nuclear fission, which grew slowly at first but by 1942 expanded into the huge complex of the Manhattan District. Condon worked for a while with the S-1 Committee, coordinating the start of this work. Later he spent a little time with J. R. Oppenheimer, planning the establishment that was to become Los Alamos. But his duties to the microwave work at Westinghouse prevented his participating further, beyond preparing a text on nuclear physics that became known as the Los Alamos Primer. In 1943 he spent some time at E. O. Lawrence's laboratory at Berkeley, arranging for Westinghouse to build the huge magnets to be used for the electromagnetic separation of uranium isotopes. These multiple contacts with the Manhattan District strengthened Condon's aversion to the military control of scientific research and development. He had many anecdotes, some grim and some humorous, about the military attitude and the consequences of the paranoia for secrecy. For example, he would recall the time he and Oppenheimer and General Groves were discussing the site of what was to be the Los Alamos Laboratory. Ed inserted the question, "As a western boy, I am wondering how we are going to supply this place with water?" General Groves brusquely said that that was his own problem and that Condon should concern himself with physics. "Yes, General," replied Condon, "but just how are we to get the water?" The fact that Condon's worry was justified and that the problem later had to be solved at enormous expense by trucking water

up the mesa probably did not endear either of these strong characters to the other.

The end of the war pushed Condon onto the national stage. He had been elected to the National Academy of Sciences in 1944, and in 1945 he became vice-president of the American Physical Society, to become its president the following year. The many physicists who were concerned with the military control of nuclear weapons looked to him for leadership, and his penchant for action set him to writing articles and giving talks about the dangers, as well as the potentialities, of nuclear power. These came to the attention of Secretary of Commerce Henry Wallace, who persuaded President Truman to appoint Condon Director of the National Bureau of Standards. Condon accepted and, before he was confirmed by the Senate, he came to Washington to work with Leo Szilard and others to lobby for the civilian control of atomic energy. The fight was violent and bitter, and affected the rest of Ed's life.

Condon's appointment to the bureau was confirmed by the Senate in November 1945, but before that Senator Brien McMahon asked him to serve as scientific advisor for a special committee on atomic energy that McMahon chaired. For several months Condon gave a course for legislators on the atomic nucleus and its implications in war and peace. Until the summer of 1946, when the McMahon-Douglas bill established the Atomic Energy Commission, under civilian control, Condon held two jobs. With the establishment of the AEC, he felt able to turn his undivided attention to the Bureau of Standards.

Condon was the first director of the NBS to be appointed from outside the bureau ranks, the first director to be recruited from industry, the first theoretical physicist to head the bureau, and the first and only director to live in a house on the bureau grounds. As his colleague at the bureau, Hugh Odishaw, has written: "The NBS had had a long and honorable history of scientific and technical contributions, but the depression years

had seen its budgets slashed. Instruments and facilities were wearing out; there was little if any new gear; no significant opportunities to enter into new areas of research and negligible funds to attract young scientists. Condon was determined to change this.

“The struggle for greatly increased appropriations was limited in success, but Condon drew much larger funds from other agencies. With these he strengthened sound on-going activities and initiated new ones, in mass spectroscopy and betatron studies, for example, and through the creation of new divisions, as in applied mathematics and electronics. These latter two collaborated in a pioneering computer program—SEAC in the East and SWAC in the West. These were the first automatically-sequenced, high-speed digital computers, and much of subsequent computer technology stems from this endeavor.” In addition, he and Odishaw assembled the highly useful *Handbook of Physics*, finally published in 1958.

Condon was also interested in administrative problems. He simplified the bureau's organization, initiated the first complete restatement (Public Law 81-619) of the bureau's functions since its founding, and presided over the establishment of major new facilities at Boulder, Colorado. The results of his initiation of new programs and recruiting of young blood are still quite apparent at the bureau.

Condon believed in removing obstacles, not going around them. This chronicler remembers being castigated for commending the formation of not-for-profit corporations as a means of providing technical assistance to government agencies without becoming enmeshed in civil service red tape. Condon felt this was a cowardly evasion of the Augean task of revising civil service.

Such direct action, of course, makes enemies. He had already roused the ire of the House Un-American Activities Committee (HUAC) by his opposition to the military control

of atomic energy. In 1948 the committee's chairman, J. Parnell Thomas, proclaimed that "Dr. Condon is one of the weakest links in our atomic security." Privately Condon described the impossibility of refuting such a charge as follows: "If you say I've got a wart on my nose, I can deny it. But if you just say I'm one of the ugliest men in town, all I can do is to argue that I'm really quite pretty." The verbal duels at the hearing reached heights of invective and illogic. Condon once alleged that someone actually asked how it had come about that Dr. Condon had been born so near the site of the first atomic bomb test. Time and again his security clearance status was reviewed and re-established, only to be challenged again, long after Congressman Thomas had been jailed for taking kickbacks from his staff.

In 1951, the year that a star-chamber hearing had removed the clearance of J. R. Oppenheimer, Condon regretfully decided that the bureau would fare better if he left. He had expected to stay at the bureau for much of the rest of his life, devoting his energy and skill to making it one of the greatest scientific laboratories in the world, but it was clear that the continuing attacks on him were hindering further support of the bureau by Congress. So he accepted an offer to become director of research and development for the Corning Glass Works.

Here again Condon recruited new scientists and initiated original research on the structure of glass and applications of its properties. He published a highly useful sequence of four papers on the physics of the glassy state in 1954. At the Corning laboratories, he initiated a number of new projects. Unfortunately one of them, on missile nose cones, was supported by the Navy, and thus clearance was required.

In September 1952 Condon was again called before the HUAC to answer further charges, one of which was that there was reason to believe he might be disloyal "in that your wife was critical of the foreign policy of the United States and you

did not reprove her.”* After a long delay, during which the strong support of his scientific colleagues was shown by his election to the presidency of the American Association for the Advancement of Science, Condon had his fourth hearing before the clearance review board and again was given a completely favorable verdict. Within four months, however, the Secretary of the Navy demonstrated the irrelevance of the semijudicial clearance hearings by arbitrarily suspending Condon’s clearance. A few days later Vice President Nixon implied, in a campaign speech, that he had requested the suspension.†

As Condon has written: “The Republicans were still bent on smearing the Truman record by pretending to a concern over the loyalty of his appointees. Eisenhower and Nixon campaigned in 1952 that they would strengthen the personnel security investigation procedures and clean the ‘reds’ out of Washington and elsewhere. They kept their campaign promise to the extent that the procedures were revised and a number of persons were subjected to long and tiresome hearings. . . . One of these was J. Robert Oppenheimer, who was finally deprived of his security clearance. . . . Another was myself where the outcome was favorable to me as it had been in three previous loyalty hearings. However, in October 1954 this favorable verdict was arbitrarily suspended by a Secretary of the Navy. . . .

“I had been under intermittent harassment in this way since 1947 and decided that I would subject myself to it no longer. So I arranged to become a consultant to Corning Glass Works [a position he held till his death]. In the spring of 1955 I was offered professorships by the faculties of two major universities, but in both cases the trustees refused to confirm the appointments under pressure from Washington. Finally I was allowed to become chairman of the physics department at Washington

*Brittin and Odabasi, *Topics in Modern Physics*, Preface by Wesley E. Brittin and Halis Odabasi, p. xviii.

†Lewis M. Branscomb, “Edward Uhler Condon,” *Physics Today* 27(1974):69.

University in St. Louis, and later to come to Boulder as a professor and fellow of the Joint Institute for Laboratory Astrophysics [joint with the Bureau of Standards, thus formally re-establishing Ed's relationship with the Bureau that had never really been broken]. As the cold war slowly died down the Department of Defense finally granted me the security clearance which had been improperly suspended in 1954 but this, I am proud to say, I have never used."*

The appointment to Washington University was the result of the efforts of Chancellor Arthur Compton, who not only wanted to add an outstanding physicist to the staff, but also realized that the nation as well as Condon would be the loser if the irrational chain of events were allowed to continue. At the University of Colorado he could finally settle down again to research in atomic theory with Halis Odabasi (now at the University at Istanbul) with the intent of rewriting *The Theory of Atomic Spectra*, and in further work on the properties of glass. He continued to write and lecture on the need for peaceful, worldwide cooperation; he took on the job of editor of the *Reviews of Modern Physics*; he actively participated in the research of the Joint Institute of Laboratory Astrophysics; and he found time to be president of the American Association of Physics Teachers in 1964.

And, in an incautious moment, he agreed to head a project, supported by the Office of Scientific Research of the Air Force, to investigate the many reports of unidentified flying objects (UFOs), with which the Air Force had been plagued for nearly twenty years. This occupied much of his time during 1967 and 1968. The report of this project was published in 1969. Condon gave a light-hearted account† of some of his experiences at a talk before the American Philosophical Society. The report has

*Condon, "Reminiscences," p. 21.

†Edward Uhler Condon, "UFO's I Have Loved and Lost," *Proceedings of the American Philosophical Society* 113(1969):425.

been the subject of vituperative comment from persons anxious to continue to believe that flying saucers are visitors from outer space and who wish to see the government spend vast sums on further studies. Despite the views of many of his colleagues that the investigation was a waste of Condon's time, Seitz has said, "The introductory chapter of the report on UFO's, in which Condon describes with characteristic clarity his own view as a scientist on what constitutes worthwhile research, is a classic. It deserves to be a landmark in the journey science has taken since the days of Stevin, Galileo and Kepler."*

Edward Uhler Condon died on March 26, 1974. Two comments may serve to close this survey of his life. One is by one of his colleagues at the National Bureau of Standards, Churchill Eisenhart: "Condon was a brilliant scientist, with highly original ideas, a wide range of interests, a restless probing mind with voluminous information indexed for instant retrieval. He could meet with scientists of diverse specialities and stimulate each with fresh enthusiasm and new insights. He could elucidate scientific intricacies to non-scientists with clarity in layman's language. Whatever he knew he saw with crystal clarity; he could summarize it in a nutshell on a moment's notice or discuss it in detail with experts, with equal ease.

"He had an ever ready and exuberant sense of humor, a gift of repartee and could be wittily caustic when provoked. He was a cordial, genial, straightforward individual; fond of people, mathematics, science, chamber music and conversation; allergic to formality, fuzzymindedness, pomposity and all forms of physical exercise. He was an active Quaker, a firm believer in human dignity, an outspoken liberal and anti-isolationist, who fervently hoped that international understanding and world peace could be furthered by continuance of World War II alliances. He

*Brittin and Odabasi, *Topics in Modern Physics*, Foreword by Frederick Seitz, p. xxvii.

gave freely of his counsel and his time; generously of his finances and his home.”*

The other comes from Lewis M. Branscomb, a colleague at Boulder, now with IBM: “Watergate came as no surprise to Edward Condon, nor did its aftermath. I imagine he would like to have lived to see the outcome of the impeachment inquiry. But Condon understood and paid his share of the price of liberty. Somehow his idealism, his sense of humor and his inexhaustible energy made his relentless quest for a better world look like optimism. He was elected president of the AAAS during the height of his troubles with HUAC. He was president of the Society for Social Responsibility in Science (1968–1969) and co-chairman of the National Committee for a Sane Nuclear Policy (1970). He was appropriately honored on his retirement from JILA and the University of Colorado in the summer of 1970 by the volume† edited by Brittin and Odabasi mentioned earlier. Brittin relates a comment about Condon by E. Bright Wilson: ‘Sometimes I think he looks for trouble,’ Wilson said. Condon’s comment: ‘It’s not hard to find.’”‡

Unfortunately it is not easy to find a brilliant scientist who is willing to speak out on questions of public policy, often with humor but always with determination, even in the face of official persecution.

*Churchill Eisenhart, “Edward Uhler Condon,” *The Technical News Bulletin of the National Bureau of Standards*, *Dimensions* 58(1974):151.

†Brittin and Odabasi, *Topics in Modern Physics*.

‡Branscomb, “Edward Uhler Condon,” p. 70.

BIBLIOGRAPHY*

KEY TO ABBREVIATIONS

- Am. J. Phys. = American Journal of Physics
Am. Phys. Teach. = American Physics Teacher
J. Appl. Phys. = Journal of Applied Physics
J. Chem. Phys. = Journal of Chemical Physics
J. Franklin Inst. = Journal of the Franklin Institute
J. Opt. Soc. Am. = Journal of the Optical Society of America
Philos. Mag. = Philosophical Magazine
Phys. Rev. = Physical Review
Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. USA = Proceedings of the National Academy of
Sciences of the United States of America
Rev. Mod. Phys. = Reviews of Modern Physics
Westinghouse Eng. = Westinghouse Engineer

1923

An erroneous experiment in gaseous diffusion. *School Science and Mathematics*, 23:415.

1924

A possible manifestation of directional hysteresis in iron. *Phys. Rev.*, 23:665. (A)

1925

The age of the stars. *Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. USA*, 11:125.
With H. E. Marsh and L. B. Loeb. The theory of the radiometer. *J. Opt. Soc. Am.*, 11:257.
Curiosities of Mathematics. Little Blue Book no. 876. Gerard, Kansas: Haldeman-Julius Company.
With L. B. Loeb. The theory of the range of alpha-particles. *J. Franklin Inst.*, 99:595.

1926

Remarks on penetrating radiation. *Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. USA*, 12:323.

*This bibliography of Edward U. Condon's works is taken from *Topics in Modern Physics*, ed. by Wesley E. Brittin and Halis Odabasi, pp. xxix-xxxiii, 1971, by Colorado Associated University Press.

Theory of intensity distribution in band systems. (Ph.D. thesis)
Phys. Rev., 28:1182.

1927

Mean free paths in a gas whose molecules are attracting rigid elastic spheres. *Philos. Mag.*, 3:604.

The rapid fitting of a certain class of empirical formulae by the method of least squares. *University of California Publications in Mathematics*, 2:55.

Coupling of electronic and nuclear motions in diatomic molecules. *Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. USA*, 13:462.

Wave mechanics and the normal state of the hydrogen molecule. *Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. USA*, 13:466.

Über den Grundzustand des Wasserstoffmolekül nach der Wellenmechanik. *Verhandlungen der Deutschen Physikalischen Gesellschaft*, 8:19.

With H. M. Terrill. Quantum phenomena in the biological action of X rays. *Journal of Cancer Research*, 11:324.

The Zeeman effect of the symmetrical top according to wave mechanics. *Phys. Rev.*, 30:781.

1928

Statistics of vocabulary. *Science*, 67:300.

The physical pendulum in quantum mechanics. *Phys. Rev.*, 31:891.

Recent developments in quantum mechanics. *Science*, 68:193.

With R. W. Gurney. Wave mechanics and radio-active disintegration. *Nature*, 122:439.

Nuclear motions associated with electron transitions in diatomic molecules. *Phys. Rev.*, 32:858.

With H. D. Smyth. The critical potentials of molecular hydrogen. *Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. USA*, 14:871.

1929

Quantum mechanics of momentum space. *J. Franklin Inst.*, 207:467.

With R. W. Gurney. Quantum mechanics and radio-active disintegration. *Phys. Rev.*, 33:127.

Remarks on uncertainty principles. *Science*, 69:573.

With P. M. Morse. *Quantum Mechanics*. New York: McGraw-Hill Book Co. (Reprinted in 1964)

1930

- With J. E. Mack. An interpretation of Pauli's exclusion principle. *Phys. Rev.*, 35:579.
- Complete dissociation of H_2 . *Phys. Rev.*, 35:658. (See complete paper by W. Bleakney, *Phys. Rev.*, 35:1180)
- With D. S. Villars. Predissociation of diatomic molecules from high rotational states. *Phys. Rev.*, 35:1028.
- With G. H. Shortley. Singlet-triplet interval ratios in sp, sd, sf, p⁵s and d⁹s configurations. *Phys. Rev.*, 35:1342.
- The theory of complex spectra. *Phys. Rev.*, 36:1121.
- With J. E. Mack. A cosmological conjecture. *Nature*, 125:455.

1931

- With G. H. Shortley. The theory of complex spectra. II. *Phys. Rev.*, 37:1025.
- Quantum mechanics of collision processes. *Rev. Mod. Phys.*, 3:43.

1932

- Quantum phenomena in the biological action of radiant energy. *J. Franklin Inst.*, 214:105.
- With F. Seitz. Lorentz double refraction in the regular system. *J. Opt. Soc. Am.*, 22:393.
- With R. F. Bacher. The spin of the neutron. *Phys. Rev.*, 41:683.
- Production of infra-red spectra with electric fields. *Phys. Rev.*, 41:759.

1933

- Note on the velocity of sound. *Am. Phys. Teach.*, 1:18.
- Notes on the Stark effect. *Phys. Rev.*, 43:648.
- With C. W. Ufford. Relative multiplet transition probabilities by spectroscopic stability. *Phys. Rev.*, 44:740.

1934

- Food and the theory of probability. *Proceedings of the U.S. Naval Institute*, 60:75.
- Absolute intensity of nebular lines. *Astrophysical Journal*, 79:217.
- Where do we live? Reflections on physical units and universal constants. *Am. Phys. Teach.*, 2:63.

1935

- With G. H. Shortley. *The Theory of Atomic Spectra*. New York: Cambridge Univ. Press.
Three catch questions. *Am. Phys. Teach.*, 3:85.

1936

- With G. Breit. Energy distributions of neutrons slowed by elastic impacts. *Phys. Rev.*, 49:229.
Electron-neutron interaction. *Phys. Rev.*, 49:459.
With G. Breit. Interaction between protons as indicated by scattering experiments. *Phys. Rev.*, 49:866. (A)
With G. Breit. The photoelectric effect of the deuteron. *Phys. Rev.*, 49:904; correction, 51:56 (1937).
With G. Breit and R. D. Present. Theory of scattering of protons by protons. *Phys. Rev.*, 50:825.
With B. Cassen. On nuclear forces. *Phys. Rev.*, 50:846.
With R. B. Barnes and L. G. Bonner. Vibration spectra and molecular structure. I. General remarks and a study of the spectrum of the OH group. *J. Chem. Phys.*, 4:772.

1937

- With W. Bleakney and L. G. Smith. Ionization and dissociation of molecules by electron impact. *Journal of Physical Chemistry*, 41:197.
Immersion of the Fourier transform in a continuous group of functional transformations. *Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. USA.*, 23:158.
With R. Greenwood. A new approach to the Hermite polynomials. *Philos. Mag.*, 24:281.
With M. Phillips and L. Eisenbud. Interaction of light nuclei. *Phys. Rev.*, 51:382. (A)
Theories of optical rotatory power. *Rev. Mod. Phys.*, 9:432.
With W. Altar and H. Eyring. One-electron rotatory power. *J. Chem. Phys.*, 5:753.

1938

- Mathematical models in modern physics. *J. Franklin Inst.*, 225:255.
Theory of nuclear structure. *J. Franklin Inst.*, 227:801.

A simple derivation of the Maxwell-Boltzmann law. *Phys. Rev.*, 54:937.

Note on the external photo-electric effect of semi-conductors. *Phys. Rev.*, 54:1089.

1940

Electronic generation of electromagnetic oscillations. *J. Appl. Phys.*, 11:502.

Recent advances in nuclear physics. *Radiology*, 34:581.

1941

Forced oscillations in cavity resonators. *J. Appl. Phys.*, 12:129.

Development of high voltage for the production of neutrons and artificial radio-activity. *Ohio Journal of Science*, 41:131.

With R. E. Williams. Progress in atom smashing. *Westinghouse Eng.*, 1:15.

Space-charge relations in the magnetron with plane electrodes. *Proceedings of the Institute of Radio Engineers*, 29:664.

1942

Principles of micro-wave radio. *Rev. Mod. Phys.*, 14:341.

A physicist's peace. *Am. J. Phys.*, 10:96.

1945

With J. A. Hipple. Detection of metastable ions with the mass spectrometer. *Phys. Rev.*, 68:54.

Physics gives us nuclear engineering. *Westinghouse Eng.*, 5:167.

1946

With J. A. Hipple. Study of metastable ions with the mass spectrometer. *Phys. Rev.*, 69:257.

With L. F. Curtiss. New units for the measurement of radioactivity. *J. Chem. Phys.*, 14:399; also in *Chemical & Engineering News*, 24:1802, and *Phys. Rev.*, 69:72.

Electronics and the future. *Proceedings of the National Electronics Conference*, vol. 2, p. 1.

With J. A. Hipple and R. E. Fox. Metastable ions formed by electron impact in hydrocarbon gases. *Phys. Rev.*, 69:347.

1947

- Foundations of nuclear physics. *Nucleonics*, 1:3.
Science advancing. The future of testing. *ASTM Bulletin*, 146:53.
The Franck-Condon principle and related topics. *Am. J. Phys.*,
15:365. (Retiring address as president of the American Physical
Society, 1946)

1948

- With P. E. Condon. Effect of oscillations of the case on the rate of a
watch. *Am. J. Phys.*, 16:14.

1949

- With E. Maxwell. Investigation of the attractive forces between the
persistent currents in a superconductor and the lattice. *Phys.
Rev.*, 76:578.
Superconductivity and the Bohr magneton. *Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci.
USA*, 35:488.
The development of American physics. *Am. J. Phys.*, 17:404.

1954

- Physics of the glassy state. I. Constitution and structure. *Am. J.
Phys.*, 22:43.
Physics of the glassy state. II. The transformation range. *Am. J.
Phys.*, 22:132.
Physics of the glassy state. III. Strength of glass. *Am. J. Phys.*, 22:224.
Physics of the glassy state. IV. Radiation-sensitive glasses. *Am. J.
Phys.*, 22:310.

1955

- A half-century of quantum physics. *Science*, 121:221. (Retiring
address as president of AAAS, 1954)

1956

- With K. R. Atkins and H. Seki. Flow of liquid helium through
porous Vycor glass. *Phys. Rev.*, 102:582.

1958

- With H. Odishaw. *Handbook of Physics*. New York: McGraw-Hill
Book Co.

1961

Graphical representation for unit systems. *Am. J. Phys.*, 29:487.

1962

Intermediate courses in physics. *Am. J. Phys.*, 30:166.

Sixty years of quantum physics. *Physics Today*, 15:37. (Retiring presidential address to Philosophical Society of Washington, 1960)

Professor A. H. Compton (biographical note). *Nature*, 194:628.

1963

Lyman James Briggs (biographical memoir). In: *American Philosophical Society Memoirs*, p. 117. Philadelphia: The Society.

1964

Intensity-dependent absorption of light. *Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. USA*, 52:635.

1966

With H. Odabasi. Spin-orbit interaction in self-consistent fields. In: *Quantum Theory of Atoms, Molecules, Solid State*. New York: Academic Press, Inc.

William Shipley's barometer (1748). *Am. J. Phys.*, 34:358.

1967

With H. Odishaw. *Handbook of Physics*. 2d ed. New York: McGraw-Hill Book Co.

1968

Radiation transport in hot glass. *Journal of Quantitative Spectroscopy and Radiative Transfer*, 8:369.

On pair correlation in the theory of atomic structure. *Rev. Mod. Phys.*, 40:872.

The past and future of the *Reviews of Modern Physics*. *Rev. Mod. Phys.*, 40:876.

1969

Scientific Study of Unidentified Flying Objects. Paperback—New York: Bantam Books, Inc.; Hardback—New York: E. P. Dutton & Co., Inc.

With H. Odabasi. Self-consistent field calculations for energy levels of 4, 5, 6, 7, 8, 14, 15 and 16 electron isoelectronic sequences. *J. Opt. Soc. Am.*, 59:658. (Letter to the editor calling attention to detailed calculations contained in JILA reports no's. 95 and 97)

UFO's I have loved and lost. *Proceedings of the American Philosophical Society*, 113:425.

With H. Odabasi. Self-consistent field calculations of the $1s^2 2s^2 2p^3 p$ configuration in the carbon isoelectronic sequence. In: *In Honor of Philip M. Morse*, ed. by H. Feshbach and K. U. Ingard. Cambridge: MIT Press.